
From: Maple Cross Residents Environment Group <maplexresidentsenvironmentgrp@gmail.com>
Sent: 08 April 2022 13:02
To: Sturgess, Tim (Avison Young - UK)
Cc: Pigott, Matthew (Avison Young - UK); Aisosa Charles; TRDC Appeals; Claire Westwood
Subject: Proof of Evidence

CAUTION: External Sender

Dear Tim

I'm afraid we do not understand the following paragraph in your Proof of Evidence:

5.20 The Statement of Case must set out the full particulars of the case. The impact on groundwater and any consequential impacts to the nature reserve do not, therefore, form part of the Rule 6 Party's objection.

When our Statement of Case states:

7.2.1 The proposed project may have an adverse effect on the quality or quantity of groundwater flowing into the reserve and the protected Section 41 Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystem habitats within.

We also refer to the impact on groundwater and consequential impacts here:

7.2.6 No mitigation has been proposed by the Appellant in the event that their activities do have an adverse effect on the quality or quantity of groundwater, causing potential harm to either of the two reserves' Section 41 habitats.

We would appreciate clarification of your comments in order to eliminate any misunderstanding.

Kind regards,

Keith Pursall
Chairman
Maple Lodge Conservation Society