

## Form A – Relevance Test

**Function/Service Being Assessed: Rickmansworth Play Facilities**

**Officer completing form: Charlotte Gomes**

**Date of completion: 13 February 2019**

### 1. Populations served/affected:

Universal (service covering all residents)?

Targeted (service aimed at a section of the community –please indicate which)?

### 2. Is it relevant to the general equality duty? (see Q and A for definition of ‘general duty’)

Which of these three aspects does the function relate to (if any)?:

1 – Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation

2 – Advancing equality of opportunity

3 – Fostering good relations

Is there any evidence or reason to believe that some groups could be differently affected?

Yes

No

Which equality categories are affected?

Race

Age

Sexual Orientation

Disability

Gender

Religion

Gender reassignment

Marriage / civil partnership

Maternity / Pregnancy

### 3. What is the degree of relevance?

In your view, is the information you have on each category adequate to make a decision about relevance?

Yes – play facilities are freely accessible for all – consideration is given to those with a disability, including providing sensory play opportunities.

No (specify which categories)

Are there any triggers for this review (for example is there any public concern that functions/services are being operated in a discriminatory manner?) If yes please indicate which:

Yes

No

### 4. Conclusion

On the basis of the relevance test would you say that there is evidence that a medium or high detrimental impact is likely? (See below for definition)

Yes

No

**Note:** if a medium or high detrimental impact has been identified then a full impact assessment must be undertaken using Form B.

**Definition of Low, Medium or High detrimental impact.**

For any one (or more) equality group the following evidence is found:

	<p><b>Evidence may come from one or more of the following sources:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Local service data</b></li> <li>• <b>Data from a similar authority (including their EIA)</b></li> <li>• <b>Customer feedback</b></li> <li>• <b>Stakeholder feedback</b></li> <li>• <b>National or regional research</b></li> </ul>
<b>High Relevance</b>	<p>The evidence shows a clear disparity (of more than 80% probability) between different sections of the community in one or more of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• levels of service access;</li> <li>• quality of service received; or</li> <li>• outcomes of service.</li> </ul>
<b>Medium Relevance</b>	<p>The evidence is unclear (or there is no evidence) if there is any disparity in terms of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• levels of service access;</li> <li>• quality of service received; or</li> <li>• outcomes of service.</li> </ul>
<b>Low Relevance</b>	<p>The evidence shows clearly ( at least 80% certainty) there is no disparity in terms of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• levels of service access;</li> <li>• quality of service received; or</li> <li>• outcomes of service..</li> </ul>